| ATT 2100   |
|--|
| 1 James  |
| FLORIDA  |
| the second s |

**SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)   RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0112581 DATE: 06/15/2006 ARRIVE: 10:10 AM DEPART: 10:40 AM   FACILITY NAME: FOAM FACTORY, INC. FACILITY LOCATION: 3510 NW 53RD STREET FORT LAUDERDALE 33309   FESEONSIDE E OFFICIAL: STAN DOCENT BHONE: (054)485 6700   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:</b> STAN ROGENT <b>PHONE:</b> (954)485-6700 <b>CONTACT NAME:</b> Lori Regent <b>PHONE:</b>   |  |  |  |  |  |
| REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/25/2001 / 10/25/2006 (effective date)   (effective date) (end date)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (effective date) (end date)   PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) In COMPLIANCE In COMPLIANCE In NOR Non-COMPLIANCE In SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE   PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) In Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) ☑ Yes □ No   2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used? |  |  |  |  |  |
| PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.   (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.)   |  |  |  |  |  |

## PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check  $\overline{\blacksquare}$  appropriate box(es))

| 3. | Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees |   |  |  |  |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|
|    | involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:                    |   |  |  |  |
|    |  | 0 |  |  |  |

| s of reducing + o e emissions off   |
|---|
| e effective application with a minimum of overspray? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No |
| essive coating? Xes No  |
| , waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)? Yes No                   |
| vrevent spillage? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No  |
| e VOC emissions during cleanup by:  |
| ark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning                           |
| [Yes ] No   |
| <u>Yes</u> No   |
| <b>Yes No</b>   |
|   |

| PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.<br>A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u> |     |    |
|--|-----|----|
| 1. Since the last inspection has there been  |     |    |
|  | Yes | No |
|  | Yes | No |
| c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most                                   |     |    |
| recent notification form?  | Yes | No |
| d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete                                 |     |    |
| notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or                                    |     |    |
| local program office?  | Yes | No |
|  |     |    |

Elizabeth F. Susky

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

06/15/2006

Date of Inspection

06/15/2007

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** In a compliance investigation conducted on 06/15/2006, AQD staff observed activities at Foam Factory, Inc. Lori Regent accompanied AQD staff on the inspection. The facility has one spray booth and two stucco stations. Mrs. Regent stated that they sponge on the paint (to achieve marble affect). The facility also has a second containment area where two 500 gallon totes are stored in a secondary containment area. Housekeeping was good and no environmental issues were noted.

Mrs. Regent also submitted VOC records to AQD staff during the inspection, The records were accurate and well-kept.